


36 responses

[View all responses](#) [Publish analytics](#)

Summary


Tell us a little about you.

Please tell us about who you are (choose one)




City	24	66.7%
County	10	27.8%
Consortium/Collaborative	2	5.6%
Other	0	0%

Which formula grants do you receive? (check all that apply)



CDBG	36	100%
HOME	26	72.2%
ESG	15	41.7%
HOPWA	5	13.9%


When did you last submit a Consolidated Plan? (check one)



2012	1	2.8%
2013	3	8.3%
2014	3	8.3%
2015	26	72.2%
2016	3	8.3%


Communicating Grant Program Information to the Public

Which means do you use to communicate program information to the public? (check all that apply)




Posting (public places)	25	69.4%
Print (newspaper ad or legal notice)	33	91.7%
Email (traditional or managed e-notification)	26	72.2%
Website (news, blog, RSS)	34	94.4%
Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram ...)	12	33.3%
Other	4	11.1%

Which do you consider to be an EFFECTIVE means to communicate program information to the public? (check all that apply)




We are using the most effective tools available to communicate program information to the public.




Disagree: 1	3	8.3%
2	9	25%
3	10	27.8%
4	10	27.8%
Agree: 5	4	11.1%

Engaging the Public in the Planning Process


Which means do you use to gather public input on your planning process? (check all that apply)




Which do you consider to be an EFFECTIVE means to gather public input on your planning process? (check all)



Our planning process is effective at gathering public input.




We are using the most effective tools available to engage the public in the planning process.



Disagree: 1	1	2.9%
2	9	25.7%
3	14	40%
4	8	22.9%
Agree: 5	3	8.6%

Reviewing Requests and Awarding Funds


How often are funds awarded/allocated?



Once a year **33** 91.7%


Less frequently than once a year	2	5.6%
More frequently than once a year (not including unplanned re-allocations)	1	2.8%

Our funding process is best described as...





Non-competitive (discretionary)	3	8.6%
Competitive - uses a numeric scoring system without a threshold score	12	34.3%
Competitive - uses a numeric scoring system with a threshold score	7	20%
Competitive - does not use a numeric scoring system	13	37.1%

What is the typical term for subrecipient/subgrantee awards?



One year	31	86.1%
Two years	4	11.1%
Longer than two years	1	2.8%


Our planning process results in an effective use of funds.



Disagree: 1	1	2.8%
2	0	0%
3	3	8.3%
4	14	38.9%
Agree: 5	18	50%

Organizational Structure


Who is involved in the review and evaluation of requests for funding/applications?



Grantee/PJ Staff (front line) **29** 80.6%

Grantee/PJ Management	28	77.8%
Elected or appointed Official/Mayor	8	22.2%
Elected or appointed Committee or Commission	20	55.6%
City Council or County Board	15	41.7%
Other	5	13.9%


How often does your committee or commission responsible for oversight of your CPD programs meet?



Annually	7	19.4%
Semi annual or quarterly	10	27.8%
Bi-monthly or monthly	7	19.4%
More often than monthly	3	8.3%
We don't have a committee or commission responsible for oversight of CPD programs.	9	25%

See you soon?



Are you attending NCDA's Annual Conference June 22-25 in Milwaukee?



Yes	12	34.3%
No	23	65.7%

Would you be willing to have us contact you to follow up on your responses?

Yes	15	44.1%
No	19	55.9%



Number of daily responses

